arXiv:cond-mat/9709308v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 28 Sep 1997

Integrable eight-state supersymmetric U model with boundary terms and its Bethe ansatz solution

Xiang-Yu Ge [*], Mark D. Gould, Yao-Zhong Zhang [†] and Huan-Qiang Zhou [‡]
Department of Mathematics,University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld 4072, Australia

A class of integrable boundary terms for the eight-state supersymmtric U model are presented by solving the graded reflection equations. The boundary model is solved by using the coordinate Bethe ansatz method and the Bethe ansatz equations are obtained.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Lp

Integrable systems with boundary interactions are one of the recent achievements which the authors think deserve careful investigations. Here we only concern with 1-dimensional open-boundary lattice integrable models of strongly correlated electrons. Such lattice models can be treated by Sklyanin's boundary quantum inverse scattering method (QISM) [1] or its generalizations [2–5]. More specifically, we present integrable boundary terms for the eight-state version of the supersymmetric U model recently introduced in [6]. The bulk Hamiltonian describes a supersymmetric electron model with correlated single-particle and pair hoppings as well as uncorrelated triple-particle hopping. So the model on an open lattice, which we consider here, involves many physically interesting processes with boundary interactions. The boundary model is solved by means of the coordinate Bethe ansatz method and the Bethe ansatz equations are derived.

Let $c_{j,\alpha}^\dagger$ ($c_{j,\alpha}$) denote fermionic creation (annihilation) operator which creates (annihilates) an electron of species α $(= +, 0, -)$ at site j. They satisfy the anti-commutation relations given by $\{c_{i,\alpha}^\dagger, c_{j,\beta}\} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, where $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, L$ and $\alpha, \beta = +,\ 0,\ -$. We consider the following Hamiltonian with boundary terms

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} H_{j,j+1}^{\rm bulk} + H_L^{\rm boundary} + H_R^{\rm boundary}, \tag{1}$$

where $H_{j,j+1}^{\rm bulk}$ is the Hamiltonian density of the eight-state supersymmetric U model [6]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{j,j+1}^{\rm bulk}(g) = &-\sum_\alpha (c_{j,\alpha}^\dagger c_{j+1,\alpha} + {\rm h.c.})\ \exp\left\{-\frac{\eta}{2}\sum_{\beta(\neq\alpha)}(n_{j,\beta} + n_{j+1,\beta}) + \frac{\zeta}{2}\sum_{\beta\neq\gamma(\neq\alpha)}(n_{j,\beta}n_{j,\gamma} + n_{j+1,\beta}n_{j+1,\gamma})\right\} \\ &-\frac{1}{2(g+1)}\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta\neq\gamma}(c_{j,\alpha}^\dagger c_{j,\beta}^\dagger c_{j+1,\beta}c_{j+1,\alpha} + {\rm h.c.})\ \exp\left\{-\frac{\xi}{2}(n_{j,\gamma} + n_{j+1,\gamma})\right\} \\ &-\frac{2}{(g+1)(g+2)}\left(c_{j,+}^\dagger c_{j,0}^\dagger c_{j,-}^\dagger c_{j+1,-}c_{j+1,0}c_{j+1,+} + {\rm h.c.}\right) \\ &+\sum_\alpha (n_{j,\alpha} + n_{j+1,\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2(g+1)}\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}(n_{j,\alpha}n_{j,\beta} + n_{j+1,\alpha}n_{j+1,\beta}) \\ &+\frac{2}{(g+1)(g+2)}(n_{j,+}n_{j,0}n_{j,-} + n_{j+1,+}n_{j+1,0}n_{j+1,-}) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

with

$$\eta = -\ln\frac{g}{g+1}, \quad \zeta = \ln(g+1) - \frac{1}{2}\ln g(g+2), \quad \xi = -\ln\frac{g}{g+2}, \tag{3}$$

---

[*] E-mail:xg@maths.uq.edu.au

[†] Queen Elizabeth II Fellow. E-mail: yzz@maths.uq.edu.au

[‡] On leave of absence from Dept of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 630044, China. E-mail: hqzhou@cqu.edu.cn

and $H_L^{\rm boundary}$, $H_R^{\rm boundary}$ are boundary terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_L^{\rm boundary} &= -\frac{2g}{2-\xi_-}\left(n_1 - \frac{2}{\xi_-}(n_{1+}n_{10} + n_{10}n_{1-} + n_{1+}n_{1-}) + \frac{8}{\xi_-(2+\xi_-)}n_{1+}n_{10}n_{1-}\right), \\
H_R^{\rm boundary} &= -\frac{2g}{2-\xi_+}\left(n_L - \frac{2}{\xi_+}(n_{L+}n_{L0} + n_{L0}n_{L-} + n_{L+}n_{L-}) + \frac{8}{\xi_+(2+\xi_+)}n_{L+}n_{L0}n_{L-}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

In the above equations, $n_{j\alpha}$ is the number density operator $n_{j\alpha} = c^\dagger_{j\alpha}c_{j\alpha}$, $n_j = n_{j+} + n_{j0} + n_{j-}$; $\xi_\pm$ are some parameters describing the boundary effects.

As was shown in [6], the supersymmetry algebra underlying the bulk model is $gl(3|1)$. The boundary terms may spoil this $gl(3|1)$ supersymmetry, leaving the boundary model with a smaller symmetry algebra. If one projects out any one species, then the projected Hamiltonian is nothing but that of the supersymmetric U model with boundary terms [7] with the following identification of the parameters in the two models: $U = \pm\frac{2}{g+1}$.

We will establish the quantum integrability of the Hamiltonian for the boundary eight-state supersymmetric U model (1) by showing that it can be derived from the (graded) boundary quantum inverse scattering method. Let us first of all recall that the Hamiltonian of the eight-state supersymmetric U model with the periodic boundary conditions commutes with the bulk transfer matrix, which is the supertrace of the monodromy matrix $T(u)$,

$$
T(u) = R_{0L}(u)\cdots R_{01}(u). \tag{5}
$$

where the subscript 0 denotes the auxiliary superspace $V = C^{4,4}$. It should be noted that the supertrace is carried out for the auxiliary superspace V. The R-matrix $R(u) \equiv P\check{R}(u)$, where P is graded permutation operator, is given by

$$
\check{R}(u) = \check{P}_1 - \frac{u+2g}{u-2g}\check{P}_2 + \frac{(u+2g)(u+2g+2)}{(u-2g)(u-2g-2)}\check{P}_3 - \frac{(u+2g)(u+2g+2)(u+2g+4)}{(u-2g)(u-2g-2)(u-2g-4)}\check{P}_4, \tag{6}
$$

where $\check{P}_k,\ k = 1,2,3,4$, are four projection operators whose explicit formulae can be found in [6]. The elements of the supermatrix $T(u)$ are the generators of an associative superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ defined by the relations

$$
R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\overset{1}{T}(u_1)\overset{2}{T}(u_2) = \overset{2}{T}(u_2)\overset{1}{T}(u_1)R_{12}(u_1-u_2), \tag{7}
$$

where $\overset{1}{X} \equiv X\otimes 1$, $\overset{2}{X} \equiv 1\otimes X$ for any supermatrix $X \in End(V)$. For later use, we list some useful properties enjoyed by the R-matrix: (i) Unitarity: $R_{12}(u)R_{21}(-u) = 1$ and (ii) Crossing-unitarity: $R_{12}^{st_2}(-u+4)R_{21}^{st_1}(u) = \tilde{\rho}(u)$ with $\tilde{\rho}(u)$ being a scalar function.

In order to construct integrable electronic models with open boundary conditions, we introduce the following graded reflection equations (REs) that the so-called boundary K-(super)matrices $K_\pm(u)$ satisfy

$$
R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\overset{1}{K}_-(u_1)R_{21}(u_1+u_2)\overset{2}{K}_-(u_2) = \overset{2}{K}_-(u_2)R_{12}(u_1+u_2)\overset{1}{K}_-(u_1)R_{21}(u_1-u_2), \tag{8}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R_{21}^{st_1 ist_2}(-u_1+u_2)\overset{1}{K}{}_+^{st_1}(u_1)R_{12}(-u_1-u_2+4)\overset{2}{K}{}_+^{ist_2}(u_2) \\
&\qquad = \overset{2}{K}{}_+^{ist_2}(u_2)R_{21}(-u_1-u_2+4)\overset{1}{K}{}_+^{st_1}(u_1)R_{12}^{st_1 ist_2}(-u_1+u_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{9}
$$

where the supertransposition st_μ $(\mu = 1,2)$ is only carried out in the μ-th factor superspace of $V\otimes V$, whereas ist_μ denotes the inverse operation of st_μ. Following Sklyanin's arguments [1], one may show that the quantity $\mathcal{T}_-(u)$ given by

$$
\mathcal{T}_-(u) = T(u)K_-(u)T^{-1}(-u) \tag{10}
$$

satisfies the same relation as $K_-(u)$:

$$
R_{12}(u_1-u_2)\overset{1}{\mathcal{T}}_-(u_1)R_{21}(u_1+u_2)\overset{2}{\mathcal{T}}_-(u_2) = \overset{2}{\mathcal{T}}_-(u_2)R_{12}(u_1+u_2)\overset{1}{\mathcal{T}}_-(u_1)R_{21}(u_1-u_2). \tag{11}
$$

Thus if one defines the boundary transfer matrix $\tau(u)$ as

$$\tau(u) = str(K_+(u)\mathcal{T}_-(u)) = str\left(K_+(u)T(u)K_-(u)T^{-1}(-u)\right), \tag{12}$$

then it can be shown [5] that

$$[\tau(u_1), \tau(u_2)] = 0. \tag{13}$$

We now solve (8) and (9) for $K_+(u)$ and $K_-(u)$. Let us restrict ourselves to the diagonal solutions. Then, one may check that the matrix $K_-(u)$ given by

$$K_-(u) = \frac{1}{\xi_-(2-\xi_-)(2+\xi_-)} \begin{pmatrix} A_-(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_-(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_-(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_-(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_-(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_-(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_-(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_-(u) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_-(u) &= (-\xi_- + u)(2 - \xi_- + u)(-2 - \xi_- + u), \\ B_-(u) &= (-\xi_- + u)(2 - \xi_- - u)(-2 - \xi_- + u), \\ C_-(u) &= (-\xi_- - u)(2 - \xi_- - u)(-2 - \xi_- + u), \\ D_-(u) &= (-\xi_- - u)(2 - \xi_- - u)(-2 - \xi_- - u), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

satisfies (8). The matrix $K_+(u)$ can be obtained from the isomorphism of the two REs. Indeed, given a solution $K_-(u)$ of (8), then $K_+(u)$ defined by

$$K_+^{st}(u) = K_-(-u+2) \tag{16}$$

is a solution of (9). The proof follows from some algebraic computations upon substituting (16) into (9) and making use of the properties of the R-matrix . Therefore, one may choose the boundary matrix $K_+(u)$ as

$$K_+(u) = \begin{pmatrix} A_+(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_+(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_+(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & B_+(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_+(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_+(u) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_+(u) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_+(u) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_+(u) &= (-2g + 2 + \xi_+ - u)(-2g + \xi_+ - u)(-2g - 2 + \xi_+ - u), \\ B_+(u) &= (-2g - 2 + \xi_+ + u)(-2g + \xi_+ - u)(-2g - 2 + \xi_+ - u), \\ C_+(u) &= (-2g - 2 + \xi_+ + u)(-2g - 4 + \xi_+ + u)(-2g - 2 + \xi_+ - u), \\ D_+(u) &= (-2g - 2 + \xi_+ + u)(-2g - 4 + \xi_+ + u)(-2g - 6 + \xi_+ + u). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Now it can be shown that Hamiltonian (1) is related to the second derivative of the boundary transfer matrix $\tau(u)$ (up to an unimportant additive constant)

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 2g\ H^R, \\ H^R &= \frac{\tau''(0)}{4(V+2W)} = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} H^R_{j,j+1} + \frac{1}{2} \overset{1}{K'}_-(0) + \frac{1}{2(V+2W)} \left[str_0 \left(\overset{0}{K}_+(0) G_{L0} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\, str_0 \left(\overset{0}{K'}_+(0) H^R_{L0} \right) + str_0 \left(\overset{0}{K}_+(0) \left(H^R_{L0} \right)^2 \right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
V &= str_0 K_+'(0), \qquad W = str_0 \left(\overset{0}{K_+}(0) H^R_{L0} \right), \\
H^R_{i,j} &= P_{i,j} R'_{i,j}(0), \qquad G_{i,j} = P_{i,j} R''_{i,j}(0).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}
$$

Here $P_{i,j}$ denotes the graded permutation operator acting on the i-th and j-th quantum spaces. (19) implies that the boundary eight-state supersymmetric U model admits an infinite number of conserved currents which are in involution with each other, thus assuring its integrability. It should be emphasized that Hamiltonian (1) appears as the second derivative of the boundary transfer matrix $\tau(u)$ with respect to the spectral parameter u at $u = 0$. This is due to the fact that the supertrace of $K_+(0)$ equals to zero. The reason for the zero supertrace of $K_+(0)$ is related to the fact that the quantum space is the 8-dimensional *typical* irreducible representation of $gl(3|1)$. A similar situation has already appeared in many spin chain models [4,7,5].

Having established the quantum integrability of the boundary model, we now solve it by using the coordinate space Bethe ansatz method. The whole procedure is similar to that for other models [8,7]. The Bethe ansatz equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{ik_j 2(L+1)} \zeta(k_j; p_1) \zeta(k_j; p_L) = \prod_{\sigma=1}^{M_1} \frac{\theta_j - \lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + ic/2}{\theta_j - \lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - ic/2} \frac{\theta_j + \lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + ic/2}{\theta_j + \lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - ic/2}, \\
&\prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - \theta_j + ic/2}{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - \theta_j - ic/2} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + \theta_j + ic/2}{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + \theta_j - ic/2} = -\prod_{\rho=1}^{M_1} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - \lambda^{(1)}_\rho + ic}{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - \lambda^{(1)}_\rho - ic} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + \lambda^{(1)}_\rho + ic}{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + \lambda^{(1)}_\rho - ic} \prod_{\rho=1}^{M_2} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - \lambda^{(2)}_\rho - ic/2}{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma - \lambda^{(2)}_\rho + ic/2} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + \lambda^{(2)}_\rho - ic/2}{\lambda^{(1)}_\sigma + \lambda^{(2)}_\rho + ic/2}, \\
&\qquad \sigma = 1, \cdots, M_1, \\
&\prod_{\rho=1}^{M_1} \frac{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma - \lambda^{(1)}_\rho + ic/2}{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma - \lambda^{(1)}_\rho - ic/2} \frac{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma + \lambda^{(1)}_\rho + ic/2}{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma + \lambda^{(1)}_\rho - ic/2} = \prod_{\rho=1}^{M_2} \frac{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma - \lambda^{(2)}_\rho + ic}{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma - \lambda^{(2)}_\rho - ic} \frac{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma + \lambda^{(2)}_\rho + ic}{\lambda^{(2)}_\gamma + \lambda^{(2)}_\rho - ic}, \\
&\qquad \gamma = 1, \cdots, M_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{21}
$$

where

$$
\zeta(k; p) = \frac{1 - pe^{-ik}}{1 - pe^{ik}}, \qquad p_1 = -1 - \frac{2g}{2 - \xi_-}, \qquad p_L = -1 - \frac{2g}{2 - \xi_+}, \tag{22}
$$

and $c = e^\eta - 1$; the charge rapidities $\theta_j \equiv \theta(k_j)$ are related to the single-particle quasi-momenta k_j by $\theta(k) = \frac{1}{2}\tan(\frac{k}{2})$. The energy eigenvalue E of the model is given by $E = -2\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos k_j$ (modular an unimportant additive constant coming from the chemical potential term).

In conclusion, we have studied integrable open-boundary conditions for the eight-state supersymmetric U model. The quantum integrability of the system follows from the fact that the Hamiltonian may be embedded into a one-parameter family of commuting transfer matrices. Moreover, the Bethe ansatz equations are derived by use of the coordinate space Bethe ansatz approach. This provides us with a basis for computing the finite size corrections (see, e.g. [8,9]) to the low-lying energies in the system, which in turn allow us to use the boundary conformal field theory technique to study the critical properties of the boundary. The details will be treated in a separate publication.

This work is supported by Australian Research Council, University of Queensland New Staff Research Grant and Enabling Research Grant. H.-Q.Z would like to thank Department of Mathematics of UQ for kind hospitality. He also thanks the supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China and from Sichuan Young Investigators Science and Technology Fund.

---

[1] E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. **A:** Math.Gen. **21** (1988) 2375.
[2] L. Mezincescu, R. Nepomechie, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **24** (1991) L17.
[3] H.J. de Vega, A. González-Ruiz, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **26** (1993) L519;
A. González-Ruiz, Nucl. Phys. **B424** (1994) 553.

[4] H.-Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **B54** (1996) 41; ibid **B53** (1996) 5089.

[5] A.J. Bracken, X.Y. Ge, Y.-Z. Zhang, H.-Q. Zhou, "*An open-boundary integrable model of three coupled XY spin chains*", and "*Integrable open-boundary conditions for the q-deformed supersymmetric U model of strongly correlated electrons*", University of Queensland preprints.

[6] M.D. Gould, Y.-Z. Zhang, H.-Q. Zhou, preprint cond-mat/9709120.

[7] Y.-Z. Zhang, H.-Q. Zhou, preprint cond-mat/9707263.

[8] H. Asakawa, M. Suzuki, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 225;
M. Shiroishi, M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66** (1977) 1.

[9] C.M. Yung, M.T. Batchelor, Nucl. Phys. **B435** (1995) 430;
F.H. Essler, J. Phys. **A:** Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 6183;
G. Bedürftig, F.H. Essler, H. Frahm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 5098.